EFFECTS INDUCED BY SPIN-POLARIZED CURRENT IN ANTIFERROMAGNETS

Helen V. Gomonay, Vadim M. Loktev

National Technical University of Ukraine "KPI, Kyiv, Ukraine

Goal: to study the effect of spin-polarized current on the magnetic state of antiferromagnet

Motivation

We **know** that:

- 1. Spin-polarized electrons transfer spin torque [1] and additional magnetization [2] to thin ferromagnetic (FM) layer thus producing switching or stable precession of magnetization.
- 2. The value of electric resistance depends upon the mutual orientation of two FM layers (GMR effect)
- 3. The presence of antiferromagnet (AFM) affects the dependence of GMR versus current and magnetic field value (experiments [3-5]).

We **do not** know:

- 1. How to **describe the dynamics** of AFM in the presence of spin-polarized current?
- 2. What **effects** could be observed?
- 3. Is there any **difference** between AFM and FM?
- 4. Could AFM materials produce GMR effect (see [5])?

Spin torque in FM (Slonczewski, Berger, [1])

Dynamics of FM in the presence of spin-polarized current [6]:



Nature of spin torque: a) free electron is polarized in parallel to local magnetic moment M – no spin scattering, no spin torque; b) free electron is polarized perpendicular to local magnetic moment M, spin scattering induces rotation of M (conservation of total spin moment), spin torque T is parallel to ΔM .

Assumptions

In AFM

- AFM order is formed by **localized** magnetic moments;
- interaction between the conduction electrons and localized spins (s-d exchange) is short-range;
- free AFM layer is described by macrospins (sublattice magnetizations M_k).

Model

Dynamics of each macrospin \mathbf{M}_k is described by LLG equations of type (1). For the collinear AFM with strong exchange coupling ($\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}_1+\mathbf{M}_2 \ll \mathbf{L}=\mathbf{M}_1-\mathbf{M}_2$) dynamics of AFM vector can be reduced to equation (a la [7]):



Dynamics of AFM vector

Spin torques $T_{1,2}$. acting on the sublattices magnetizations $M_{1,2}$ from the spin-polarized current.



3-D scheme of heterostructure, positive current *I* flows from FM to AFM layer



Equilibrium state, spin polarization \mathbf{p}_{cur} of current is parallel to easy axis (EA) of AFM

Sample geometry

Lagrange approach

The dynamics of AFM in the presence of spin-polarized current can be analyzed within Lagrange formalism with the Lagrange function

$$L = \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{8g^2 M_0^2} \dot{\mathbf{L}}^2 - \frac{A}{8M_0^2} (\nabla \mathbf{L})^2 - w_{an}(\mathbf{L}), \qquad (3)$$

and dissipation (Rayleigh) function

$$R = \frac{\chi_{\perp} \alpha_G}{8g^2 M_0^2} \dot{\mathbf{L}}^2 - \frac{\sigma I}{4gM_0} (\mathbf{p}_{\text{curr}}, \mathbf{L} \times \dot{\mathbf{L}}).$$
(4)

Magnetic anisotropy of AFM (example, "easy-plane" type):

$$w_{an} = -\frac{1}{2}K_2L_x^2 - \frac{1}{4}K_4\left(L_x^4 + L_y^4 + L_z^4\right)$$
(5)

Equations of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{L}}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{L}} = -\frac{\partial R}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{L}}}$$
(6)

Effects

1. **Positive/negative friction**: like in FM, spin-polarized current can compete with internal dissipation (2-d term in (4)).

2. Shift of AFMR frequencies & current-induced spin-flop:

If \mathbf{p}_{cur} is parallel to EA of AFM, spin-wave spectra are current-dependent:

$$\Omega_{1,2}^{2}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_{x}^{2} + \omega_{y}^{2} \right) + c^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_{x}^{2} - \omega_{y}^{2} \right) \sqrt{1 - (I/I_{\text{th}1})^{2}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\omega_j(0) = g \sqrt{K_j / \chi_{\perp}}$ are AFMR frequencies (j=x, y). In contrast to FM, spin current works as **nondissipative force and** renormalizes AFMR frequencies!

The critical current of destabilization depends upon **magnetic anisotropy** (in FM –by relaxation constant only):

$$I \le I_{\text{th}1} \equiv g |K_{\text{x}} K_{\text{y}}| / (2M_0 \sigma).$$
(8)

Corrections from relaxation (parameter α_G):

$$I = \sqrt{I_{\text{th}1}^2 + I_{\text{cr}}^2}, I_{\text{cr}} \equiv \frac{\alpha_{\text{G}}\sqrt{K_y}\chi_{\perp}}{2M_0\sigma} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{G}}}{\omega_y(0)} \frac{K_y}{K_x - K_y} I_{\text{th}1}$$
(9)

3. Spin-flop state: for 4-fold anisotropy (see (5)) another stable

state exists with $\phi = \frac{1}{4} \arcsin(I / I_{\text{thr}}), I_{\text{thr}} = K_4 g / (M_0 \sigma).$





Spin-flop state, current induces rotation of AFM vector from EA

Spin-wave frequency (in long-wave limit) vs current

4. Spin Transfer Oscillator: like in FM, stable rotation of AFM vector can be stimulated by spin transfer when \mathbf{p}_{cur} is parallel to "hard" axis (HA). In **contrast** to FM, critical current depends on magentic anisotropy

$$I \ge I_{\rm thr}^{(2)} \equiv g \sqrt{\left|K_y\right| K_x} / (2M_0 \sigma) \tag{10}$$



"Phase" diagram in coordinates currentrelative magnetic anisotropy



Dynamically stable state (spin transfer oscillator), $\theta_0(I)$

5. **Parametric downconversion:** ac current can induce parametric resonance:

$$\ddot{L}_{x} + \alpha_{G}\dot{L}_{x} - c^{2}\nabla^{2}L_{x} + \omega_{x}^{2}(0)L_{x} = I_{0}\cos\omega t(\sigma gM_{0}\chi_{\perp}^{-1})L_{y}, \quad (11)$$

$$\ddot{L}_{y} + \alpha_{G}\dot{L}_{y} - c^{2}\nabla^{2}L_{y} + \omega_{y}^{2}(0)L_{y} = -I_{0}\cos\omega t(\sigma gM_{0}\chi_{\perp}^{-1})L_{x}, \quad (12)$$

In anisotropic AFM the lowest resonance frequency can be as small as $\omega_{res} = |\omega_x(0) - \omega_y(0)|$. Resonance width increases with the current amplitude:

$$\Delta \omega_{\rm res} = \sqrt{\omega_x \omega_y \left[\left(I_0 / I_{cr} \right)^2 - 1 \right]}, I_{cr} \equiv (\alpha_G / \sigma) \sqrt{\omega_x \omega_y}$$
(13)

Comparison with experiment

Potential candidates for AFM layer:

FeMn, IrMn (collinear AFM in epitaxial films), FePt₃; Mn₃NiN, Mn₃AgN, Mn₃GaN (noncollinear AFM)

Typical parameters:

susceptibility $\chi_{\perp}=10^{-5}$ (SI units) magnetization $2\mu_0 M_0=0,1$ T anisotropy $K_x = 10^5$ J/m³, $|K_x - K_y| \propto K_x$ quality factor of resonance (inverse damping parameter) 0.1. **Expected** threshold field $I_{th1} \propto 10$ mA. **Experiments** [3,4] $I \propto 5 \div 7.5$ mA.

All the dynamical effects (e.g., eigenfrequencies of spin waves, etc) **in AFMs** are **amplified** (compared to FM) due to exchange coupling between sublattices. So, for the AFM and FM samples with comparable values of resonance frequencies the ratio of corresponding threshold currents

$$I_{\text{thr}}^{\text{AFM}}/I_{\text{thr}}^{\text{FM}} = H_{\text{A}}/\mu_0 M_0^{\text{FM}}$$

is proportional to AFM anisotropy field $H_A \le 0.03$ T, while $\mu_0 M_0^{\text{FM}} \propto 0.3 \div 1$ T, so, $I_{\text{cr}}^{\text{AFM}} \langle \langle I_{\text{cr}}^{\text{FM}} \rangle$.

Conclusions:

- 1. AFMs can show new (compared with FMs) spin dynamics in the presence of spin-polarized current. All the spin transfer phenomena observed in FMs are anticipated in AFMs.
- 2. Spin-polarized current can induce spin-flop transition, stable precession of AFM vector and parametric downconversion in AFM.
- 3. Spin-wave spectra of AFM are modified in the presence of spin-polarised current.
- 4. The values of the threshold currents in AFM should be much lower than in FM due to "exchange amplification".

References

- J. C. Slonczewski, J. Magn. Magn. Mat., 159, L1 (1996);
 L. Berger, Phys. Rev. B, 54, 9353 (1996).
- 2. G. Binasch, P. Grünberg, F. Saurenbach and W. Zinn, *Phys. Rev. B*, **39**, 4828 (1989).
- 3. S. Urazhdin and N. Anthony, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* ,**99**, 046602 (2007).
- 4. J. Bass, A. Sharma, Z. Wei, and M. Tsoi: *Jour. of Magnetics* (Korean Magnetics Society), **13**, 1 (2008).
- 5. Z. Wei, A. Sharma, A.S. Nunez, P.M. Haney et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98**, 116603 (2007).
- 6. A. N. Slavin and V. S. Tiberkevich, *Phys. Rev. B*, **72**, 094428 (2005).
- I. V. Bar'yakhtar and B. A. Ivanov, *Fiz. Nizk. Temp.* 5, 759 (1979).